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A Mooc point

Business schools hope ‘massive open online courses’ will lure new clients - but it is a gamble

In 2013 there were fewer than 30 business and management Moocs; today there are more than 100

In February the FT business education team launched the Business School Challenge, a quiz in which teams of MBA students pit their wits against each other in aid of the FT’s annual charity – this year it was World Child Cancer.

I was impressed by what students knew about business, as reported in the FT. They knew how much Twitter shares soared on their market debut in 2013 (73 per cent) and that London-based trader Bruno Iksil, who worked for JPMorgan, had the nickname London Whale. But the one question that completely stumped them was: What does the acronym Mooc stand for?

It brought home to me that while academics obsess about Moocs – massive open online courses – most business school students have barely heard of them.

At the moment most of those who enrol on Moocs are educated professionals who want to study topics that interest them or are useful at work. These Moocophiles live in developed economies and many are business managers and corporate executives.

This demographic is rather embarrassing for providers, who espouse Moocs’ potential for social good – that they will deliver high-quality education to students in developing economies who cannot afford the cost of university study.

But it could also prove embarrassing for business schools that are developing Moocs, especially those that rely on short executive courses for revenues. There is a theory that Moocs might threaten the take-up of degree level education. What seems more alarming to me is the idea that schools could spend millions developing Moocs, only to find that these free courses cannibalise their money-spinning open enrolment short courses.

In a time of recession, one of the first things that company bean-counters cut is executive short courses. But even in times of economic growth it hardly makes sense for companies to sponsor students on business school courses if they can get the same training free.

So, in the next few years, what are the chances of the learning and development people in large corporations deciding that for junior managers to make it to middle management level, they must complete a prescribed list of six or eight Moocs? Even if corporations require certification at the end of courses, the cost will be a fraction of that for open enrolment programmes – and that is before you factor in time saving and convenience.

At the lower level, online training for specific skills – reading a spreadsheet, say – is widespread. Online programmes for junior management training have also been mooted for at least a decade. When Duke Corporate Executive was established in 2000, part of its philosophy was to cascade material throughout businesses using different teaching styles depending on the level. For those in junior management roles, online courses were seen as the way forward even then.

What is increasingly clear is that there is plenty of free material out there as the number of Moocs relating to business and management rockets. In September 2013, when the FT started its Mooc tracker, there were fewer than 30 such Moocs; today there are more than 100.

How will business schools counter this threat? Some will not need to. A programme such as Harvard Business School’s Advanced Management Programme has the brand to guarantee longevity. In developing economies, Harvard’s AMP has probably more kudos than its MBA: it is the programme of choice for the corporate elite.

Others are not so lucky. So, what added value can business schools offer? A network of contacts in other corporations, plus knowledge of working practices across different sectors is clearly one advantage. But online networking techniques are developing all the time. And let’s face it, junior managers who cut their teeth on Facebook know how to do online networking.

I can also envisage a situation in which learning and development managers set up online networks so that participants can exchange ideas, helping create friendship networks across the company.

Of course, open enrolment courses have far fewer participants than Moocs. This means students will be better placed to interact with professors and take advantage of mentoring opportunities and corporate visits. This clearly has a value. But what value?

What business schools will obviously bet on is that some Moocs will so impress companies that they will decide to use that school for other management development and customised programmes. But it may prove a dangerous bet.

The issue for me is whether business schools, which have just seen the business for their open enrolment programmes return, may have already sown the seeds of their own destruction.
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Survey highlights benefits and drawbacks of online learning

It is difficult to imagine more than a ripple of discord at a luxury chocolate company known for adverts set at an ambassador’s diplomatic parties. But managing conflict is among the topics on a course designed for senior managers at Ferrero, developed with Spain’s Iese Business School. Other subjects include change management, leading and developing high-performing teams and “self-leadership”, all tailored to the company’s culture.

Charlotte Clarke

Leadership tops companies’ concerns

The biggest challenge faced by global companies in the next three years is their leadership capabilities, according to the latest annual survey by Henley Business School.

Seventy-one per cent of the 359 respondents said leadership was a challenge, compared with 57 per cent who were concerned about managing costs and just 36 per cent about global competition.

There is also an increasing sense of collective leadership, says Steve Ludlow, head of executive education at Henley, part of the University of Reading. “The organisational culture is developed by leaders at all levels of the company,” he says.

Della Bradshaw

Top of the class

Female participants
(Open ranking)
Thunderbird
School of Global Management, US

Overseas programmes
(Customised ranking)
Cranfield, UK

41% of executive education participants polled by the FT said they would be willing to study in their own time for a Mooc (massive open online course) in business or management.

Wai Kwen Chan

Just how much is online learning on the rise in executive education?

In 2011, 42 per cent of respondents to an FT poll of open-enrolment course participants said that none of the teaching and related assessments was conducted online. That figure stays the same in this year’s poll of more than 470 respondents.

Fifty-one per cent of those whose programmes included online elements said that these accounted for less than 25 per cent of the course. Some 80 per cent of respondents wanted online content in future courses.

The main benefits of online learning cited in the recent survey are flexibility, convenience, cost and time savings. The main drawbacks were a lack of interaction with other participants and teaching staff and fewer networking opportunities. Other concerns were quality of teaching, lack of learning support and the level of self-discipline required.

One respondent wrote: “I can see the potential for online learning for some types of skills and knowledge. However, much of the value of executive education is learning from other participants and training staff, for example in role play and interactive exercises. I struggle to see how this could be delivered in an online environment.”

Wai Kwen Chan

Keep up to date with business education news: www.ft.com/bized-alert
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Welcome to Baruzia! Where? Baruzia, a fictional country modelled on the rapidly developing economies in Asia or Africa.

But this is not the latest Hollywood sci-fi movie, fantasy TV blockbuster or shoot-em-up video game franchise. Baruzia will be home for five days to a group of 24 up-and-coming executives enrolled on the latest development programme from Duke Corporate Education.

Baruzia has been developed on a film set in San Diego (pictured above). Ironically, this extreme fantasy world, where some 50 actors populate the offices, marketplace and government buildings, is intended to immerse course participants in a “real life” environment.

But as Mike Canning, president of Duke CE, explains, Baruzia has been created to take executives out of their comfort zone to help them deal with the challenges business now faces. “Challenges are less familiar, knowledge is less reliable. We need to create a place for people to practice so they can change the business.”

Traditional executive courses no longer fit the bill, he says. “The level and type of change that is occurring is not what we have trained people for. We can’t sit people down and teach them a few things and everything will be OK.”

The Baruzia simulation is just one week in an 18-week programme developed by Duke CE and known as Quest. In the programme participants will combine online study and a company project with two immersions: the fantasy world of Baruzia and the real world of Kuala Lumpur, in Malaysia. Duke CE has worked with cultural anthropologists, neuroscientists and negotiation specialists to develop the programme. - Della Bradshaw

Sets and the city: fake town built for training

EXECUTIVE EDUCATION
The top 50 schools in 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>School</th>
<th>Custom rank</th>
<th>Open rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HEC Paris</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Iese Business School</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IMD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Center for Creative Leadership</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Esade Business School</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>University of Chicago: Booth</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Stanford Graduate School of Business</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>London Business School</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Harvard Business School</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Insead</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>University of Virginia: Darden</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cranfield School of Management</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Thunderbird School of Global Management</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14+</td>
<td>University of Oxford: Said</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14+</td>
<td>Essec Business School</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Washington University: Olin</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>University of Michigan: Ross</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Northwestern University: Kellogg</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>SDS Bocconi</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>University of Pennsylvania: Wharton</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Fundacao Dom Cabral</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>IE Business School</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Ashridge</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>UCLA: Anderson</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>University of Toronto: Rotman</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Columbia Business School</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26+</td>
<td>ESMT - European School of Mgt and Technology</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27+</td>
<td>Western University: Ivey</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Ceibs</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Edhec Business School</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>MIT: Sloan</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>University of St Gallen</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Stockholm School of Economics</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Vlerick Business School</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Henley Business School</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35+</td>
<td>Universidad de los Andes</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Melbourne Business School, Mt Eliza</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>IAE Business School</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Insead</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>EM Lyon Business School</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>York University: Schulich</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>University of Pretoria, Gibs</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>ESCP Europe</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Incae Business School</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Catolica-Lisbon School of Business and Economics</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Xiamen University School of Management</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Australian School of Business (AGSM)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Aalto University</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>NHH</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Eada Business School Barcelona</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value for money
(Customised ranking)
HEC Paris, France

Aims achieved
(Open ranking)
IMD, Switzerland

Faculty
(Open ranking)
University of Virginia: Darden, US

Footnotes
This table is compiled from the scores underlying the Financial Times Executive Education 2014 open enrolment and custom rankings, rather than the printed rankings. Schools must feature in both rankings to qualify for this table. Both sets of data are given equal weight, but the overall result is therefore not equal to the average of the two printed figures for each school.
Back in business

➔ ...but the post-crisis market looks very different. By Della Bradshaw

The figures tell the tale: after seven years of gloom and despondency, the business of executive education short courses, the cash cow of many business schools, is looking buoyant once more.

This is a far cry from most of the past decade, when schools had to lay off staff and diversify into other sources of revenue. But as activity returns, it is clearly very different from a decade ago.

Customised programmes, designed specifically for individual companies, have shown the strongest growth – 75 suppliers are ranked for the first time by the FT in 2014. Some schools have been overwhelmed by new business.

At the Said school at the University of Oxford, revenues from custom business have risen from $9m to $15m in a year, according to Andrew White, associate dean for executive education.

“We were turning away business left, right and centre,” he says.

Open enrolment programmes are now shorter and incorporate a greater use of technology. “Blended” learning, which combines online and face-to-face, is commonplace.

These days, the demand is for programmes on social media, big data and technology rather than general management. Coaching is increasingly required as an integral part of the programme.

There are changes in geographical demand, too. Over the past two years the growth in executive programmes at 11 top US business schools has risen by almost 5 per cent, according to a survey by Chicago Booth. In Europe the rise was 3.2 per cent. But the 10 schools in Latin America that participated in the FT rankings in 2014 had an increase in revenues of more than 17 per cent in 2013, on top of growth of more than 13 per cent in 2012.

For many companies in the region it is a case of playing catch-up, says Camelia Ilie, dean of executive education at Incae Business School in Peru. In Latin America “companies are like they were in the US 10 years ago,” she says. “They are beginning to look at corporate universities and leadership programmes.”

The market is dominated by local suppliers, because as Prof Ilie points out: “The prices are not as high as in Europe and the US, so it is difficult for US and European business schools to come into the market.”

The Middle East has proven lucrative for many European business schools – HEC Paris is strong in Qatar, Insead has a foothold in Abu Dhabi, while in November, London Business School won a $38m contract to train top managers at the Kuwait Petroleum Company, the largest executive education programme in the school’s history.
In Asia there is also substantial growth, in Singapore, Japan, Malaysia and Indonesia, as well as China, says Michael Pich, dean of executive education at INSEAD. Asia is now a mature market, he says. “They want to know what the global standard in management is.”

Business schools that fly into the region often underestimate this, Prof Pich adds. “I think a lot of people’s attitude to China is old-fashioned. For us the colonial market is dead.”

In particular, Asian companies are looking for managers who can operate in a global context, according to Greg Campbell, who is in charge of executive education in the Asia Pacific region for Melbourne Business School. “Companies are becoming much more strategic and the global mindset is repeatedly coming up in requests for customised and some open enrolment programmes.”

The global mindset resonates across all regions. “More and more people come to us because they want programmes in different European cities,” says Delphine Manceau, dean of European executive education at ESCP Europe, which has campuses in Paris, London, Berlin, Madrid and Turin. She cites the example of Indian Railways, which has selected ESCP to teach a programme on its campuses in London and Paris. “We really feel companies on other continents are interested in the complexity of Europe.”

But it is in online courses that schools are seeing the real changes and the explosion in numbers, says Michael Malefakis, associate dean for executive education at Columbia Business School. The school now runs two open enrolment programmes and one customised programme exclusively online and is planning to launch a technology-based consortium programme for a handful of co-operating companies later this year.

These programmes are complementary to their equivalent classroom programmes, says Malefakis. “One of our fears prior to launching the online programme was that we would cannibalise our face-to-face programmes. It didn’t happen.”

These lower cost online courses are changing the structure of the market, he believes, with online programmes proving increasingly popular with individuals, who pay for the courses themselves. Malefakis says he senses a shift from a business-to-business market to a business-to-consumer market.

As costs are pushed down and technology becomes increasingly prevalent, Malefakis believes executive education suppliers could be pushed out of the market altogether by MOocs (massive open online courses). “My question is, when will people feel the completely free MOOC is good enough?” he says.

At IMD in Switzerland, president Dominique Turpin disagrees. “Soon the market is going to be saturated by MOocs, but MOocs are not going to solve everything,” he says. “You can sit online with people in Afghanistan and Peru, but it doesn’t make you a good manager.”

In good management speak the dean describes the support as a “multi-dimensional engagement”, which includes alumni mentoring students, employing graduates and speaking in class, as well as giving money – the traditional definition of an active alumnus. “It’s a resource that takes decades to build,” he points out.

Ivey’s powerful and loyal alumni network stems from the fact that until 10 years ago, Ivey, located in London, Ontario, was the undisputed leader in business
Education in Canada and one of the top players in the world – in 2002 the FT ranked the school’s MBA programme as one of the top 20 globally.

But the school has paid the price for increased competition at home as well as shifts in the Canadian economy.

Prof Kennedy has clear ambitions to reverse that situation. “Tell my faculty – number one in Canada, top 10 in North America, top 20 in the world.”

And he intends to do that through the quality of the school’s research. “For a great business school you need three things: strong programmes, a strong community and strong faculty, governance and research.”

The school already has the alumni network and a strong suite of programmes on which to build.

The undergraduate degree has increased in size from 200 to 600 students over recent years and Ivey has launched a masters in management degree in co-operation with Cems, the Paris headquartered network. In 2006 the Ivey MBA degree was reduced in length and now runs over three semesters rather than four, effectively making it a one-year degree.

The changes reflect those in the industry. “Business education is very global. We compete globally for faculty and students and the sector is shifting away from the full-time MBA to the masters in management,” says the dean.

“In my mind, the growth of the school will be in executive development. Or it will come from geographic expansion.”

With 105 faculty, up from just 65 a few years ago, Ivey is still a relatively small school by international standards, but the dean believes his appointment was all about creating new knowledge. “The opportunity for Ivey is to reinvest in the knowledge creation side.”

This will be applied research as well as the purely academic, he says, most notably in the creation of case studies.

Ivey is wedded to the case method of teaching and has often been referred to as the Harvard of Canada for this reason.

Prof Kennedy, too, is a great believer in this pedagogy, saying that 90 per cent of his teaching since leaving Harvard has been using the case method.

“I’m a big believer in case method teaching because it makes it easier to do real life problem solving,” he says. “Process type learning is just as important as the toolkit.”

Like HBS, Ivey is a case publisher, but unlike Harvard it has a particular strength in cases about companies in Asia. In total, Ivey’s publishing arm distributes more than 500 cases centred on Asia Pacific companies and a further 300 on central Asia and the Indian subcontinent.

Many of those come from Ivey’s own professors – the school has a second campus in Hong Kong – while some come from professors at other business schools in the region. What is more, Ivey distributes more than 750 cases that are translated into Chinese.

Della Bradshaw

**Biography**

1962 Born in Nebraska and went on to study at both Stanford and MIT
1991 Became a partner in Enterprise Investors in Poland
1995 Graduated with a PhD from Harvard and joined the Business School as an assistant professor. Went on to become an associate professor at HBS in 2000
2003 Moved to Michigan Ross as executive director of the William Davidson Institute, which focuses on business and policy issues in emerging market economies.
Taught on the school’s MBA, EMBA and executive courses
2013 Appointed as the ninth dean of the Ivey school at Western University in Canada

On video

Robert Kennedy talks with FT business education editor Della Bradshaw.
Go to ft.com/ bized-video
When companies were small, people did not bother much about management as such. It was a pragmatic question of how to organise to get things done. “Management” as a standalone concept emerged only as companies got bigger, and initially much of it was commonsense. As late as the 1970s, for instance, although there were fierce arguments about the proportions, sharing the benefits of corporate growth among all the stakeholders just seemed fair and normal.

But as companies ballooned in size what no one foresaw – and many still do not understand – was that it was not only economies that accrue to scale but also the returns from being right or wrong. The reach of technology into every corner of life raises the stakes still higher.

The destructive power of management wrongness multiplied by size is amply demonstrated by the Great Crash of 2008. From where we are now – stuttering recovery, stagnating or falling real incomes, soaring inequality, banks still too big to fail – it seems clear that we are suffering the consequences of an era of raging management overconfidence.

As Alan Greenspan, former chairman of the US Federal Reserve, lamented in 2008: “I made a mistake in presuming that the self-interests of organisations, specifically banks and others, were such that they were best capable of protecting their own shareholders and their equity in the firms... I discovered a flaw in the model that I perceived as the critical functioning structure that defines how the world works.”

The £66bn by which taxpayers continue to subsidise UK banks and the $100bn in penalties and fines levied on US financial institutions since the crisis are a tiny part of the damage perpetrated by managers and politicians who overestimated their understanding of how the world works.

Even now, faith in grand management visions and projects lives on, whether in Google’s mission to digitise all the information on earth, the UK government’s attempts to “transform” the benefits system and the National Health Service, or big data, as in: “Let the data decide.”

Of course, as this newspaper’s Tim Harford has pointed out, big data will yield important insights. But seeing what has been done with small data, data intelligence is at least as important as magnitude. Intelligent data means interpretation, and interpretation means judgment, with all the possibilities of fallibility and bias that introduces. And that is before we decide how to use the results.

That faith needs to be challenged. It is not just that the experiment with a model based on abstraction and ideology has been a disaster to everyone but the fraction of 1 per cent who run large banks and hedge funds. Even more fundamentally, it is time to recognise that given the multiplier effects of size and technology, management needs to be handled as gingerly as rocket fuel, equally capable of propulsion to the stars or blowing the traveller to smithereens.

A more humble approach to running our organisations is needed. It should take a leaf from medicine’s Hippocratic oath: first, do no harm. That means recognising that starting from a big outcome – like a reorganised NHS – as a plan for change is epistemological and practical nonsense. Change is possible but it begins at the other end, with small-scale trials to get knowledge about what works on the ground and how that can be scaled while avoiding unintended consequences. Change is a result, not the starting point.

If that looks like muddling through to those who want to change the world overnight, fine. But done scientifically, small changes in practice can have momentous consequences without the unwelcome surprises. A recent report by Locality, a network of social and community enterprises, and Vanguard, a consultancy, claims billions could be knocked off the bill for British public services by jettisoning conventional cost- and scale-driven approaches and instead working to understand individual need in its context.

Working like this, UK councils such as Stoke and the London Borough of Camden believe they can reverse the public-sector narrative of doom in which ever-increasing demand collides with ever-declining resources and not only improve lives and communities but also reduce demands on the system. In this way, modest management can recast the welfare state for the 21st century – from the bottom up, one person at a time.
In today’s increasingly competitive and demanding business environment our Programs help managers promote innovation and change, making an impact on their organizations.
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Walk the talk

Reuel Khoza lives by a blend of traditional South African philosophy and business acumen

A businessman, a prominent thinker on business leadership and African values, a distinguished speaker, author and Africanist, Reuel Khoza is the embodiment of the values-driven leadership he espouses.

I first met Reuel in the late 1970s, at a time when South Africa’s government was increasingly under siege and starting to recognise that its apartheid policies were not only immoral but also no longer sustainable. We worked together during the 1980s engaging with South African companies in the fields of transformation and strategy.

As our paths crossed over the years, we became colleagues and continued to collaborate as members of a robust informal group that met for some 20 years to debate business and politics in South Africa.

I have come to know Reuel as a man of integrity and as an advocate of effective and ethical leadership, particularly the philosophy of ubuntu, or African humanism, and what it can contribute to leadership today. He has a commanding presence tempered with a sense of humility.

Reuel has received a great deal of recognition during his career, and has been the recipient of many awards. He holds a number of degrees and has held directorships at many of South Africa’s top-drawer companies such as Vodacom and Standard Bank. He is president of the Institute of Directors of South Africa, the major shareholder in Aka Capital, the investment company, and the current chairman of Nedbank, one of South Africa’s four big banks.

Reuel is one of South Africa’s pre-eminent corporate leaders and one who has always sought to give back and make a contribution to broader society. A man of intellect and dignity, in many ways he is the type of “attuned leader” that he writes about. Both an academic and an entrepreneur, he straddles the domains of insights and practical service – essential in a leader who asks others to follow his actions as well as his words.

That is what I respect about Reuel; he lives his philosophy. His most recent book, Attuned Leadership, is in my view his most substantive work and explains how the ethic of ubuntu (a value system based on traditional African values which means “I am what I am because of who we all are”) can transform business, statecraft and global leadership.

Reuel’s views on transformation and change are well balanced. He is a proponent of values-driven leadership, a stance which has, from time to time, led to scraps with the ruling African National Congress. But his willingness to articulate his views broadly was important as South Africa prepared to go to the polls last week, 20 years after our country’s first democratic election. Blessed with an abundance of mineral wealth and natural resources and a growing population, South Africa is a turbulent and fascinating country. We have made significant progress since 1994, but there is a huge transformation agenda for the future that demands leadership of a calibre that can manage in a fast changing, complex and competitive global environment.

It is clear from the students passing through our doors at the Gordon Institute of Business Science that the younger generation of corporate leaders, managers and entrepreneurs bring a different attitude to business and to life in South Africa. Less obsessed with race and our history, this new generation is more intent on improving performance and their relationships with each other.

The South African dynamic is moving on. What the country needs is more statesmen like Reuel to mentor the next generation of leaders, preparing them to help South Africa take its rightful place in the global economy.

Over the years, I have seen Reuel in action, facilitating groups about South Africa’s political dynamics in a highly effective manner, building consensus, summarising his ubuntu emphasis and marking the way forward. But his first step is always to listen, an art he learnt from his father, a lay-preacher-cum-teacher who taught him to listen before acting.

Perhaps with the kind of attuned leadership Reuel has to offer, the next generation of South African leaders will find their own pattern of ethical global leadership, one equipped to combine the warmth and humanity of African culture with the culture of modernity and competitiveness.

For Reuel, guiding future leaders capable of unlocking this potential may be central to his philosophy of ubuntu – but it is also just good business sense.
“WHERE WILL YOU BE DOING BUSINESS TOMORROW?”

Associate Professor Mark Crosby
Program Director
Senior Executive Program

“As South Korea rolls out 5G broadband, China has developed the world’s fastest supercomputer. While Chinese companies build 30-storey towers in 15 days, Australian innovators create eco-friendly concrete.

And as scientists in Australia stop excessive bleeding using aerosol, Indian specialists perform heart surgery with lower mortality rates than top US hospitals—at a fraction of the cost.

Business in Asia Pacific is changing. Connecting with emerging trade partners, suppliers and markets in the region requires a new style of leadership. Are you ready?”
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A matter of taste

After early difficulties, Edward Zhu's Shanghai-based CHIC Group grew into an international food business. Along the way, business education and Buddhist philosophy helped him meet the changing demands. By Simon Rabinovitch
Small things sometimes make or break a career. For Edward Zhu, it was a rubber hose.

Asked by Del Monte Foods in 1995 to see if he could source some cans of mandarin oranges as a trial, Zhu delivered the fruit. But on checking the product, his Del Monte contact said the taste was off. The rubber hose used to pump syrup into the mixture had been cooked along with the fruit. The mandarins were ruined.

It could have been the end of the road for Zhu’s foray into the fruit processing industry. Instead, he turned the mistake to his advantage. He asked Del Monte to send technicians to China to help identify the problem and fix his canning plant, winning their trust in the process.

By 2000, within five years of that first tainted batch of mandarins, Zhu’s CHIC Group had become one of the world’s biggest exporters of canned fruit, selling to customers from Wal-Mart to Coca-Cola. For a man who hoped to be an investment banker, it was an improbable but welcome twist of fate. “I had never thought about going into the food business. It’s amazing how these things happen,” says Zhu, speaking in his airy office.

Picking fruit, cooking them in syrup and canning them is a very straightforward business proposition. But the curved glass façade and sky bridges of CHIC Group’s new Shanghai campus – designed by the architects who made Google’s global headquarters – serves notice that Zhu wants CHIC, a privately held company, to outgrow its earthy beginnings.

Already CHIC has developed into an organisation of some 10,000 employees, with plants in Thailand, Spain, the US and of course China, where about 90 per cent of its staff are based. As the company has grown, Zhu has drawn both on Buddhist philosophy and formal business education to meet the changing demands.

His full head of grey hair – a rarity in a country where black dye is de rigueur for business and political leaders – is the first thing that marks Zhu, only in his mid-40s, as different from the mainstream of China’s corporate elite.

Zhu is also unusual in having started by going global; he is just now looking to the Chinese market as the next frontier. It is the opposite of the conventional route in China. Most of his peers, from banks to property developers, established themselves domestically first.

“China used to not be able to afford the price we wanted to sell our products at. That’s changed and now China’s price can be higher than other markets,” he says.

Zhu got his start in the fruit trade at a cocktail party in California in the early 1990s. At the time he was shuttling between Shanghai and San Francisco, trying to broker cross-border investment deals. A Del Monte buyer at the party was intrigued to find a man who could move easily between the two countries – far less common back then – and gave Zhu that first pivotal order for mandarins.

The boiled rubber hose was one of a catalogue of problems that Zhu faced initially. Workers often left cans of fruit in the open gathering dust. He needed to find a way to impress upon them the importance of keeping the cans clean, since overseas customers expected to be able to open a box and put them directly on store shelves.

In those years, it was customary in China to get new clothes once a year for the Chinese New Year festival. After one holiday, he told his workers to bring their prized dresses and suits to the packing plant and place them where they had stacked the cans, and then leave them for a week to accumulate dust. “After that they remembered not to put the product out in the open,” he says.

Zhu has applied similar ingenuity to address a deficiency that persists in China to this day: an absence of large-scale farming. Most land is divided into tiny plots for individual farmers, making it hard for food companies to make big orders and harder to ensure consistent quality, since there are so many producers to supervise.

Zhu reckons that CHIC buys fruit from hundreds of thousands of growers. His approach to managing them? “We call it peer pressure.”

He circles an area of, say, 10 acres with as many as 20 growers. “If we find out one grower’s product has a pesticide issue, we will then put the whole 10 acres of land on the blacklist. So everyone keeps an eye on everybody. They help us guard our interest,” he says.

If that sounds crude, CHIC is now at the forefront of using technology to monitor its products. Every piece of land feeding into the supply chain is assigned a barcode-like QR code. Every basket of fruit, truck and palette in every packing plant also receives a code. The case that arrives on a customer’s doorstep is assigned a code as well. These are linked together, creating a detailed history for each can. “The customer can trace the product all the way from his shelf to the farmer,” Zhu says.

Zhu has, to a certain extent, lived his entrepreneurial life backwards: building up businesses first and only then learning about business. In 1999 he attended a fast-
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I don’t want to position ourselves as a processed food company. If we were just that, I think we’d be dead already.

Much as the Chinese government is now trying to guide the economy up the value chain, from being a producer of low-end goods to a fulcrum of innovation, so is Zhu aiming for a similar evolution at CHIC. If his earlier success was guided in part by intuition and good luck, his strategy now is informed by his two decades of experience and his business school studies.

“Traditional thinking is that people think about what product you’re in, but this is actually wrong. If you look at Apple, Steve Jobs never thought of himself as being in the computer business. He was not bound by the computer business,” Zhu says. “It’s really about what my customer wants, but my consumer probably doesn’t even understand what he needs. That’s the area I’m going [into],” he says. “I don’t want to position us as a processed food company. If we were just a processed food company, I think we’d be dead already.”

Canning fruit for Del Monte and Yum Brands, the parent of fried chicken chain KFC, is still an essential part of CHIC’s business. But Zhu is also shifting the company into its own branded products, sold directly to consumers. CHIC makes a coconut water drink under the Invo label, sold in the US. For the first time, he is also trying to sell directly to the Chinese market, producing a freshly-squeezed fruit juice.

At his Google-esque Shanghai campus, he is investing heavily in research and development, hoping to make hardier, safer, better-tasting and more profitable food.

And with the Chinese government pushing an ambitious urbanisation agenda, Zhu has also got CHIC involved in a pilot project in the southwestern city of Chongqing to transform small plots of farm land into a zone of intensive, large-scale agriculture.

Politically, it is without question a good thing for CHIC to be backing. But Zhu is unreserved in saying he sees money – big money – in it. “There is huge, huge potential return on investment in this. I have never seen a better business opportunity,” High praise from a man who, starting with cans of oranges, has seen his fair share of business opportunities over the years.
Dear Lucy...

FT readers consult Lucy Kellaway on whether to put their foot down when short-changed, who to ask for 360-degree feedback and what to do if approached by a rival mid-course.

My company has previously sent colleagues of similar seniority to Harvard Business School for short courses. I was looking forward to this but have instead been told my next course will be at a less prestigious local school. Should I insist on HBS – and does it make enough of a difference to be worth rocking the boat?

Yes, it does make a difference. And, yes, you should definitely stamp your foot. However I’m not sure that you can “insist” on Harvard, as it will be your employer who pays and who will therefore choose where you go. The beauty of the less prestigious school is that it will be far less expensive; if your employer has decided to give expenses a drastic haircut, screaming from you is not likely to make much difference. However, you have nothing to lose by trying. By publicly protesting about being short-changed, you make it a little harder for anyone to short-change you next time – they know you’ll make a fuss.

I am to take an advanced management programme later in the year and will be required to provide 360-degree feedback from colleagues beforehand. Am I genuinely expected to ask a random selection of people? This could prove awkward, given the rather fractious environment where I work.

No, of course you aren’t expected to ask a random selection. You are expected to ask a carefully handpicked selection that you can just about pass off as random. You should skew your choice towards the least fractious elements of your workforce, but not so outrageously that it looks as though you’ve picked your best friends and mother. These 360-degree feedback exercises are a charade; it is depressing that this course begins with one. For your sake, I hope it gets better from here.
Having reached management level, I was intending to develop my skills with some short courses at business school. But is it really worth the money when some of the big-name schools offer Moocs – massive open online courses – free?

It depends on who is paying. If you can persuade your employer to pay, then go for the most expensive course. If you are paying, then it depends on what you want to get out of it. If what you really want is to make friends and influence people then you need to sign up for a proper course. But if you think you have specific holes in your knowledge that you want to fill, then a Mooc is a great place to start. As you say, you get a slice of some great professors from great schools. And if you decide it isn’t enough, then you can pay for more when you feel like it.

We have always used a respected (and expensive) management consultancy to review company strategy, but we are considering bringing in a business school professor instead. He’s a leader in his field and has done some consultancy work – but can an academic with limited practical experience really deliver in the real world?

If you are already feeling disillusioned with your ruinously expensive consultancy firm, ditch it at once. Give the academic a go. He will cost far less and his insight might be just as good.

If you are already feeling disillusioned with your expensive consultancy firm, ditch it at once. Give the academic a go. He will cost far less and his insight might be just as good.

As a training and development manager, I’m always asked if the expense of executive education courses can be justified. Do you think it is?

If you are a tiny organisation with no spare cash, the answer is clearly no. If you are large, rich company that pays vast executive salaries, the marginal cost of a few business school courses is tiny. If your managers learn anything at all on them they are probably worthwhile. The real cost is whether the course makes people feel more loyal towards the company and therefore more likely to stay. Or whether it makes them more marketable, gives them more contacts (see above) and therefore encourages them to leave. There are no data on this; but my gut says it’s worth it. Good employers invest in their people. Good employers are harder to leave.

Lucy Kellaway is an FT associate editor and management columnist and writes the weekly Dear Lucy advice column.
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rankings

Executive education 2014

➔ The top open enrolment and customised programmes, plus sector trends and analysis
The Financial Times executive education rankings, now in their 16th year, include a record number of programmes. The FT ranked the top 80 customised and the top 70 open programmes in 2014. For the third year running, the same schools top the rankings: Duke Corporate Education in the customised category and IMD in the open table.

Executive education offers non-degree programmes to corporations and working managers. Programmes are open (available to all) or customised (tailor-made for an organisation). The rankings are based on participants’ and clients’ satisfaction, the diversity of participants and faculty, and the school’s international exposure.

Duke Corporate Education tops the customised ranking for the 12th consecutive year. HEC Paris is second for the sixth year running, and Iese of Spain is third for the third year. HEC Paris was fairly close behind Duke, coming first in four of the criteria judged by customers.

Duke’s corporate customers praise the school’s relationship with clients. Suzanne McAndrew, vice-president in charge of talent management at American Express, says: “Duke ensured that we deliver the right return on investment on this programme and continues to evolve the design to match our short-term and long-term leadership needs.”

In the open ranking, IMD Switzerland improved further its performance in the participant survey. It is ranked first in three of the corporate criteria and within the top five for another six criteria. The school is also ranked in the top five for the diversity of its participants and faculty.

Chicago Booth climbed three places to second, while Darden Business School at the University of Virginia rose furthest, climbing 11 places to rank joint third with HEC Paris.

Executives who attended IMD’s programme went through an intensive
self-assessment process, which one participant compares with “brain surgery”. The quality of the teaching and participants is particularly appreciated: “The programme provided a stimulating, energetic, and positive environment with [similar] fellow students in which you can get the best out of yourself,” says Rob de Vries, vice-president of Europe Marine Control, an electrical engineering company.

Some 41 per cent of customers are considering increasing their spending on executive education over the next three years – up 4 percentage points on the last ranking. Revenues from open programmes are also up on average, with three in five schools performing better in 2013 than in 2012.

A high level of satisfaction in both groups encourages loyalty to their training providers. Ninety per cent of corporate customers are likely to reuse the same school for the same programme and 95 per cent are likely to contact the school for new programmes. Open programmes have on average more than 50 per cent of repeat business.

The quality of professors is rated highest of the categories by open and customised course participants, with an average score of 9.1 out of 10. Both groups also agree that follow-up is the poorest-performing aspect of executive education, giving it a rating of 8.2 and 8.3 respectively.

Client companies, which are possibly more demanding than individuals, rated “aims achieved” at 8.9, slightly lower than open participants at 9.1. “New skills and learning” also scores slightly lower at 8.7 compared with 8.9.

Comments on both types of programme also reflect a high level of satisfaction. Many participants reported the course as a life-changing experience while corporate customers also praise their impact.

Laurent Ortmans
Financial Times Executive Education 2014

The top 70 open programme providers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>3-year avg</th>
<th>School</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>IMD</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>University of Chicago: Booth</td>
<td>US/UK/Singapore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>HEC Paris</td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>University of Virginia: Darden</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Insead</td>
<td>France/Singapore/UAE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Iese Business School</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Harvard Business School</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Stanford Graduate School of Business</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Esade Business School</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Center for Creative Leadership</td>
<td>US/US/US/US/Russia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>University of Michigan: Ross</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>University of Oxford: Saïd</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Northwestern University: Kellogg</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Thunderbird School of Global Management</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Columbia Business School</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Cranfield School of Management</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>University of St Gallen</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Queen's School of Business</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>CEIBS</td>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MIT: Sloan</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>SDA Bocconi</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Bentley Business School</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Ashridge</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Universidad de los Andes</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>IE Business School</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Vlerick Business School</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Stockholm School of Economics</td>
<td>Sweden/Russia/Latvia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Insead</td>
<td>France/UK/US/USA/Canada</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>York University: Schulich</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Católica-Lisbon School of Business and Economics</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>ESCP Europe</td>
<td>France/UK/UK/UK/UK/UK/UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>University of Pretoria, Gibs</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Melbourne Business School, Mt Eliza</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Inca Business School</td>
<td>Costa Rica/Nicaragua</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>New York University, Stern</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>IE Business School</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Nyenrode Business University</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>University of British Columbia: Sauder</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Australian School of Business (AGSM)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>IMI Management School</td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Aalto University</td>
<td>Finland/Singapore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Indian Institute of Management, Bangalore</td>
<td>India</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Xiamen University of Management</td>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Lagos Business School</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Solvay Brussels School of Economics and Management</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Eada Business School</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Grenoble Graduate School of Business</td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Saint Paul School of Business</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Nova School of Business</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>USB Executive Development</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Wits Business School</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Tilburg University, TiasNimbas</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Porto Business School</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Centro de Formacao Tecnica</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Institute of Technology</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Kedje Business School</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>American University in Cairo School of Business</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Fundacao Instituto de Administração</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>BI Norwegian Business School</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The first 10 criteria are supplied by programme participants; the next six by each business school. These criteria are presented in rank form, with the leading school ranked number one (apart from women participants, which are shown as a percentage). Revenue data are provided for information only and do not inform the ranking.

Figures in brackets show the weight each criterion contributes to the overall ranking, as determined by participants on the programmes. The weighting accorded to the first 10 criteria, from preparation to facilities, accounts for 80 per cent of the total ranking’s weight. It is determined by the level of importance participants attach to each.

Preparation (7.7): provision of advance information on programme content, and the participant selection process.

Course design (8.6): flexibility of the course and appropriateness of class size, structure and design.

Teaching methods and materials (8.3): extent to which teaching methods and materials were contemporary and appropriate, and included a suitable mix of academic rigour and practical relevance.

Faculty (8.7): quality of the teaching and the extent to which teaching staff worked together to present a coherent programme.

Quality of participants (8.0): extent to which other participants were of the appropriate managerial and academic standard, and the international diversity of participants, and the quality of interaction among peers.

New skills and learning (8.7): relevance of skills gained to the workplace, the ease with which they were implemented, and the extent to which the course encouraged new ways of thinking.

Follow-up (7.3): level of follow-up offered by the school after participants returned to their workplaces, and networking opportunities with fellow participants.

Aims achieved (8.6): extent to which personal and professional expectations were met, and the likelihood that participants would recommend the programme.

Food and accommodation (6.6): rating of their quality.

Facilities (7.5): rating of the learning environment’s quality and convenience, and of supporting resources and facilities.

Female participants (2.0): percentage of female course participants.

International participants (3.0): amalgamation of the percentage of participants from outside the business school’s base country and region.

Repeat business and growth (5.0): amalgamation of growth in revenues and percentage of repeat business.

International location (3.0): extent to which programmes are run outside the school’s base country and region.

Partner schools (3.0): quantity and quality of programmes taught in conjunction with other business schools.

Faculty diversity (4.0): diversity of school faculty according to nationality and gender.

Open-enrolment revenues: income from open programmes in 2013 in $m, provided optionally by schools. Revenues are converted into US$ using the average dollar currency exchange rates for 2013.

Key: open enrolment programmes

The first 10 criteria are supplied by programme participants; the next six by each business school. These criteria are presented in rank form, with the leading school ranked number one (apart from women participants, which are shown as a percentage). Revenue data are provided for information only and do not inform the ranking.

Figures in brackets show the weight each criterion contributes to the overall ranking, as determined by participants on the programmes. The weighting accorded to the first 10 criteria, from preparation to facilities, accounts for 80 per cent of the total ranking’s weight. It is determined by the level of importance participants attach to each.

Preparation (7.7): provision of advance information on programme content, and the participant selection process.

Course design (8.6): flexibility of the course and appropriateness of class size, structure and design.

Teaching methods and materials (8.3): extent to which teaching methods and materials were contemporary and appropriate, and included a suitable mix of academic rigour and practical relevance.

Faculty (8.7): quality of the teaching and the extent to which teaching staff worked together to present a coherent programme.

Quality of participants (8.0): extent to which other participants were of the appropriate managerial and academic standard, and the international diversity of participants, and the quality of interaction among peers.

New skills and learning (8.7): relevance of skills gained to the workplace, the ease with which they were implemented, and the extent to which the course encouraged new ways of thinking.

Follow-up (7.3): level of follow-up offered by the school after participants returned to their workplaces, and networking opportunities with fellow participants.

Aims achieved (8.6): extent to which personal and professional expectations were met, and the likelihood that participants would recommend the programme.

Food and accommodation (6.6): rating of their quality.

Facilities (7.5): rating of the learning environment’s quality and convenience, and of supporting resources and facilities.

Female participants (2.0): percentage of female course participants.

International participants (3.0): amalgamation of the percentage of participants from outside the business school’s base country and region.

Repeat business and growth (5.0): amalgamation of growth in revenues and percentage of repeat business.

International location (3.0): extent to which programmes are run outside the school’s base country and region.

Partner schools (3.0): quantity and quality of programmes taught in conjunction with other business schools.

Faculty diversity (4.0): diversity of school faculty according to nationality and gender.

Open-enrolment revenues: income from open programmes in 2013 in $m, provided optionally by schools. Revenues are converted into US$ using the average dollar currency exchange rates for 2013.
## The top 80 customised programme providers (cont. over)

### Top for international clients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Business school</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>3-year avg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MIT: Sloan</td>
<td>US/UK/South Africa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Insead</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Thunderbird School of Global Mgt</td>
<td>US/Beijing/Singapore/Russia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Iese Business School</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Center for Creative Leadership</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Esade Business School</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Stanford Graduate School of Business</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>University of North Carolina: Kenan-Flagler</td>
<td>US/Singapore/UAE</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>London Business School</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mannheim Business School</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Top for international participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Business school</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>3-year avg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Center for Creative Leadership</td>
<td>US/UK/Belgium/Singapore/Russia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ashridge</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Duke Corporate Education</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ESCP Europe</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>IMD</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Iese Business School</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Esade Business School</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Insead</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cranfield School of Management</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>London Business School</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Top for overseas programmes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Business school</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>3-year avg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cranfield School of Management</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ashridge</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>London Business School</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Esade Business School</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>EMLyon Business School</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Duke Corporate Education</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Aalto University</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Iese Business School</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mannheim Business School</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Essec Business School</td>
<td>France/Singapore</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

TABLES: CATLIN CLANCY

FT.COM/BUSINESSEducation
Key: customised programmes

The first 10 criteria are supplied by companies that commissioned courses; the last five by business schools. These criteria are presented in rank form, with the leading school ranked number one. The final two criteria are for information only, and do not influence the ranking. Figures in brackets show the weight each criterion contributes to the overall ranking. The weighting accorded to the first nine criteria, from preparation to value for money, accounts for 72 per cent of the total ranking’s weight. It is determined by the level of importance that clients attach to each.

Preparation (8.3): level of interaction between client and school, the extent to which clients’ ideas were integrated into programmes, and effectiveness of the school in integrating its latest research.

Programme design (8.4): flexibility of the course and the willingness of schools to complement their faculty with specialists and practitioners.

Teaching methods and materials (8.0): extent to which teaching methods and materials were contemporary and appropriate, and included a mix of academic rigour and practical relevance.

Faculty (8.5): quality of teaching and the extent to which faculty worked together to present a coherent programme.

New skills and learning (8.4): relevance to the workplace of skills gained, the ease with which they were implemented, and the extent to which the course encouraged new thinking.

Follow-up (6.8): extent and effectiveness of follow-up offered after the course participants returned to their workplaces.

Aims achieved (8.6): extent to which academic and business expectations were met, and the quality of feedback from individual participants to course commissioners.

Facilities (7.0): rating of the learning environment’s quality and convenience, and of supporting resources and facilities.

Value for money (8.0): clients’ rating of the programme’s design, teaching and materials in terms of value for money.

Future use (8.0): likelihood that clients would use the same school again for other customised programmes and whether they would commission again the same programme from the school.

International clients (5.0): percentage of clients with headquarters outside the business school’s base country and region.

International participants (3.0): extent to which customised programmes have participants from more than one country.

Overseas programmes (4.0): international reach of the school’s customised programme teaching.

Partner schools (3.0): quantity and quality of programmes developed or taught with other business schools.

Faculty diversity (5.0): according to nationality and gender.

Total responses: number of individual surveys completed by the school’s clients. Figures in brackets indicate the number of years of survey data counted towards the ranking.

Custom revenues: income from customised programmes in 2013 in $m, provided optionally by schools.

Revenues are converted into US$ using the average dollar currency exchange rates for 2013.

Footnotes
† These data are provided for information only. For schools whose main headquarters are outside the US, figures are based on average dollar currency exchange rates for 2013. † The first figure refers to the number of individual surveys completed by clients of the school. The figure in brackets indicates the number of years of survey data included in this ranking. Data are retained for schools that participated in 2013 or 2012 but did not make the final ranking. **Includes revenue from food. ***Aggregate total for open and customised programmes. Although the headline ranking shows changes in the data year to year, the pattern of clustering is also significant. About 365 points separate the top school from the one ranked 80th. The top 13 business schools, from Duke CE to Iagher, form the top group of custom providers. The second group is led by IE Business School and the third by USB Executive Development. The top and bottom schools in the second group are separated by 160 points, in the third group there is a 100 point gap between top and bottom.
Methodology

How the executive education programme rankings were compiled. By Laurent Ortmans

For the 16th year, the FT has ranked the world’s leading providers of executive education programmes, non-degree courses for companies and working managers.

The first ranking (pages 26-27) evaluates the top 70 open-enrolment courses: courses on specific topics such as leadership that are directed to all professionals regardless of the company they work for. The second ranking (pages 28-31) is of the top 80 business schools that offer customised programmes tailored to the needs of the organisations that commission them. A third ranking (Upfront, page 7) combines the two to appraise the top 50 schools in the executive education field that feature in both the other rankings.

Participating schools must be internationally accredited and have earned revenues of at least $2m in 2013 from their open or customised programmes, respectively. This year, a total of 95 schools took part.

The open-enrolment ranking is compiled using data from providers and individuals that completed their nominated management programmes in 2013. Schools submit one or two general courses of at least three days in length, and one or two advanced courses of at least five days.

At least 20 per cent of these programmes’ participants must complete the FT survey, with a minimum of 20 responses, for a school to feature in the final ranking of 70 providers.

About 6,300 participants answered this year’s survey, a 40 per cent response rate, rating elements of their programme on a 10-point scale. Responses by advanced and general-level participants are collated separately and then
design type: Strategic – delivered to top management and designed to influence a company’s direction; General – delivered to management on operational aspects of a company; or Functional – related to a specific function, such as marketing.

Client responses are weighted according to programme type. Strategic programmes have the highest weighting and so the greatest impact on the ranking. Responses are also weighted by the seniority of the individual responsible for specifying the course, the size of the client organisation, and the number of schools with which that client has commissioned customised courses in the past three years.

The survey was completed by 1,100 business school clients this year, 55 per cent of those invited. Each rated their programme on a range of indicators using a 10-point scale. Their answers directly inform the first 10 of the ranking’s criteria – from course preparation to value for money and future use – which account for a combined 80 per cent of the ranking’s weight. The last five criteria, calculated from information provided by schools, evaluate the extent to which they are internationally diverse in terms of course provision and nationality of clients and participants, as well as faculty diversity.

For both rankings, information collected in the preceding two years is used, where available, to calculate criteria informed by client and participant responses. If a school has participated for the past three years, the weighting is 40:33:27, with 2014 data counting for 40 per cent. If two years of information is available, the weighting is 55:45, with 2014 data carrying 55 per cent.

The weights accorded to the first nine criteria in the custom and first 10 in the open rankings are determined by the level of importance clients and participants attach to each in their 2013 surveys. Ranking weights for these criteria thus vary slightly from year to year. The weights of criteria informed by school surveys remain unchanged from year to year, however.

Z-scores – formulae that reflect the range of scores between the top and bottom school – are calculated for each criterion. These scores are weighted, as outlined in the keys, and aggregated. Schools are ranked according to these final aggregated scores for both rankings. Schools that feature in both rankings are eligible for the combined overall ranking. The top 50 schools are calculated according to an equal weighting of the total scores achieved in both rankings, rather than an average of ranking positions.
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The gender agenda

➔ From executive education’s role in getting more women into senior positions to giving small business owners and entrepreneurs in developing economies the skills they need to thrive.
Getting women on board

Business schools have a role to play in the drive to get female executives to the top. By Emma Boyde

Susan Vinnicombe is exasperated. The professor of women and leadership at Cranfield School of Management in the UK is tired of hearing that women lack the confidence to make it to the very top.

“Women do not lack confidence. I get angry when I see it framed in that way. What they lack is leadership self-efficacy,” she says.

This lack of belief in their suitability for specific senior leadership roles – and reluctance to put themselves forward for them – is what many business schools are trying to address with their provision of training for women on boards.

There is a tangible sense that things are on the move. More than 10 years have passed since Norway made waves with the introduction of an ambitious mandatory quota of 40 per cent for women on the boards of its largest companies. While its move sparked furious debate, Norway has also gained quite a few imitators, especially in Europe where a 40 per cent quota has been proposed for the whole of the European Union.

“Europe continues to be a leader on this issue,” writes law firm Paul Hastings in its annual report on this issue: Breaking the Glass Ceiling: Women in the Boardroom. “2013 showed the highest year-on-year change recorded to date in the average number of women on boards of large corporations in EU member states.”

Its latest report, published in September last year, also notes increasing activity elsewhere in the world. By 2013 more than 50 cities in mainland China had adopted local rules implementing 2011 legislation requiring an increase in representation of women on boards of public and private companies.

India was also addressing the issue with legislation, while Australia and New Zealand had adopted reporting requirements on gender parity. And even in the US and Canada, where there was only marginal growth in the percentage of women on company boards, the issue was more often being discussed.

It is no surprise that the past few years have seen a flurry of new programmes being offered by business schools aimed at preparing women for being on boards. One of the newest is Duke Corporate Education’s Women Leading Africa.

It is a five-day programme which launches in Namibia this month but will also be run in Kenya and South Africa in June. The experiential course will feature structures such
“This is being driven at a government level,” explains Christine Robers, director of marketing for Duke CE. Also about to launch is Wharton’s Women’s Executive Leadership: Business Strategies for Success, a five-day programme that will run in July.

“This is something we thought about for a long time,” explains Nancy Rothbard, professor of management at Wharton in Philadelphia. “We felt that now it’s the right time, because there seems to be some kind of momentum around these issues.”

Ruth Sacks, a senior lecturer at Westminster Business School in the UK, is also testing the waters. Women for the Board, a six-day course aimed at women who are no more than two steps away from the board, is in its inaugural year.

She says the decision to launch the course was partly inspired by the 2011 release of the UK’s first government-sponsored report called Women on Boards by Lord Davies.

The report led to the UK’s adoption of a target for female representation on boards but also noted that barriers prevented women from rising to the highest ranks in business.

Sacks says her marketing activities for Westminster Business School had also revealed a need. “I asked women who are on boards what they wished they had known earlier and that is where the course came from.”

Incae Business School, whose main campuses are in Costa Rica and Nicaragua, launched its Women’s Executive Leadership Programme in December 2013 and is marketing the course, which runs at its Miami hub, to women across Latin America.

All this must seem like old hat to Prof Vinnicombe. “I was probably one of the first. I have been running this for 25 years,” she says of Cranfield’s Women as Leaders Programme.

Like the more recent programmes dedicated to improving women’s chances of getting on to boards, Women as Leaders is a women-only course.

“A lot of women do feel ambivalent about coming on a women-only course,” says Prof Vinnicombe, but she adds that they do appreciate it afterwards.

Nuria Chinchilla, professor of managing people in organisations at Iese in Spain, also canvases women on whether they want to be trained in a single-sex environment before they begin the Mujeres en Consejos de Administracion, a women-only executive training course, in Spanish, that launched three years ago.

Prof Chinchilla says she can get more done more quickly if no men are present. “At the end of every session I ask them, would you have preferred to be in a mixed session or not. Usually, only one or two say so at the end, although a larger group voice that preference at the beginning.”

While business schools have obviously spotted an opportunity...
in providing dedicated programmes to help more women get on to boards, some think women should participate in general leadership and board programmes with men because it more closely mimics the real world.

Ludo Van der Heyden, who heads Insead’s International Directors Programme, says: “I think you have to train people in their real environments. If you want people on boards you need to train women with men.”

He says about 10 per cent of programme participants were women when he started running it in 2011. About a third of the latest cohort of 40 participants are women.

“Research shows that women are over-mentored but under-sponsored. So this is the big frustration for women,” Prof Van der Heyden says.

“They go to courses. They network, but if people are not going to sponsor them, they will not succeed.”

Jim Westphal, chair of strategy of the Ross School of Business in Michigan, which does not at present offer any women-only executive training courses, agrees. He thinks business schools have a role to play educating boards in general so that male members see the value in appointing more women.

“There needs to be more training on what is effective decision making on boards,” he says, adding that an efficient board should be representative of a company’s market.

Board members, he believes, should be selected for their expertise and then it should be ensured that they all have equal influence on decision making.

There has been a lot of progress, but there is clearly a long way to go.

“Most of these women on the level that we’re working at are working in male-dominated environments and they begin to wonder if the reason they are not being promoted is because they’re a woman,” says Cranfield’s Prof Vinnicombe.

But Prof Van der Heyden believes there is no excuse: “I’ve never met a board that was looking for a female director that couldn’t find a good one.”
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The University of Warwick
When Divyakeshav took over the small Delhi company founded by her father to prevent it from closing, she did not feel fully prepared for the responsibility.

Krishna Prинтерnational, which makes self-adhesive labels, was at risk because her father did not want to continue investing in it when his daughters were pursuing other careers and there was no successor. Loath to let his years of effort go to waste, Keshav left her job in 2008 and took the reins. “When I took over the company, I did not have the business skills or confidence to allow it to reach its potential,” she recalls. So she decided to take a short executive education programme developed by Hyderabad’s Indian School of Business in partnership with the Goldman Sachs 10,000 Women initiative, which supports female entrepreneurs in developing economies.

On the course Keshav learnt skills such as creating a branding strategy for a small business and how to negotiate with vendors and customers. She says revenues have since grown by more than 100 per cent a year and she has increased her workforce by 25 per cent.

Part of the appeal of the programme was that classes were in Delhi. “One of the reasons I chose the course was because it was locally based,” Keshav says. “I didn’t have much time when I could travel away from the business.”

For those who can afford it, short programmes overseas can also be worth the investment. Gigliola Aycardi, Colombian co-founder of Bodytech, a chain of gyms in Colombia, Peru and Chile, chose a leadership programme developed by Stanford Graduate School of Business and Endeavor, a global non-profit organisation that supports entrepreneurship.

For Aycardi, the appeal was access to technology expertise and the chance to join a global cohort of like-minded entrepreneurs. “It was good for me to do something new and to find out about trends in management and leadership,” says Aycardi. “And doing this at Stanford was great because of the IT connections the school has.”

Rena Shalimar Riyanto, managing her family’s logistics enterprise in Indonesia and planning to start a restaurant business, also looked for a course that would offer business skills and a global network. She chose the Entrepreneur’s Boot Camp offered by Babson College in Massachusetts. “So many industries and nationalities were represented,” she says. “And what was really valuable was first-hand tips from the experienced entrepreneurs, investors and academics they brought into the programme.”

However, for millions of female entrepreneurs in emerging markets, the fees, travel costs and time away from the company mean these kinds of courses are out of reach.

It was to help fill the gap for local, short executive programmes that Goldman Sachs launched the 10,000 Women initiative used by Keshav. The idea is to promote economic development by giving female entrepreneurs in emerging markets access to management education and mentors. While Babson College developed the global curriculum,
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schools can customise the emphasis placed on different topics, delivery methods and programme length.

In some regions, business skills are only part of what female entrepreneurs need – it can be difficult for women to become accepted as businesspeople at all. In the Middle East and North Africa, fewer than one third of early-stage entrepreneurs are women, according to the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor, compiled by Babson. Even once they have broken through cultural barriers and created a business, women may find it hard to see themselves as leaders.

“One of the most important things someone could get out of an executive course is confidence – because women can sometimes hold themselves back,” says Linda Rottenberg, co-founder and chief executive of Endeavor. “Confidence building translates into people thinking bigger about their business.”

Nicole Stubbs, chief executive of First Access, which creates risk scores for microfinance clients in emerging economies, agrees. “It’s about confidence and finding best practices for framing what you’re doing, so family members and others can understand.”

But for borrowers in low-income communities, even local courses may be out of reach. This can hinder economic development, as female entrepreneurs make important contributions to these economies – and women tend to invest returns in the education and healthcare of their children.

Often women are “necessity entrepreneurs” – their decision driven by circumstances – rather than “opportunity entrepreneurs”, says Elaine Eisenman, dean of executive education at Babson College. “They are the sole support for their family and don’t set out to become entrepreneurs.”

Some argue that for these women what is needed is not formal classroom training but on-demand content accessed via mobile devices.

“I’m not sure the standard executive education programme is what I’d focus on,” says Guy Pfeffermann, founder and chief executive of the Global Business School Network, a non-profit that supports management education in the developing world. “The main issue in terms of scaling up business education for women is to make it easy for extra-busy women to absorb – and even two days at a school may be too much.”

Several organisations are developing programmes that can be accessed online or via mobile phones. Acumen Fund, a non-profit venture fund that invests in social entrepreneurs, works with a range of partners to offer online leadership programmes. The African Management Initiative is working with business schools to develop online and mobile content for regions with low bandwidth. Participants support each other through virtual communities, and online training is supplemented with face-to-face workshops to make content available from top African institutions.

“We’re currently targeting both men and women but we’re thinking about a course designed specifically for women at some stage,” says Rebecca Harrison, the programme director. She adds that there is likely to be a community for women entrepreneurs on the social learning platform under development.

Pfeffermann believes online courses that can be accessed anywhere may prove the most useful tools for female entrepreneurs. “Women have no time, and it’s worse in developing countries, where it’s hard to get things done and women spend a lot of time stuck in traffic,” he says. “If you can reach them there [with mobile technology], then you’re on to something.”

I didn’t have much time when I could travel away from the business’

Necessity: Elaine Eisenman says women often do not set out to be entrepreneurs

Fit for purpose: Gigliola Aycardi chose Stanford for its global cohort and IT
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In 2002, Warren Buffett made an admission that he had not been as vigilant as he should have been in his role as director of the various subsidiaries of his holding company, Berkshire Hathaway.

In a letter to shareholders he wrote: “Too often I was silent when management made proposals that I judged to be counter to the interest of shareholders... In those cases, collegiality trumped independence [and a] certain social atmosphere presides in boardrooms where it becomes impolitic to challenge the chief executive.”

Later, Kevin Sharer, chairman of Amgen, the US biotech company, portrayed a very different relationship between board and chief executive: “Working with the board is vital, complex, and beyond your prior experience... It is among the most complex human relationships, especially if you’re the chairman, when you’re their boss, and they’re your boss. Get the relationship right, or it will hurt you.”

These two very different experiences open a new book, *Boards that Lead: When to Take Charge, When to Partner and When to Stay Out of the Way*. The central premise of this book is a plea: “Governing boards should take more active leadership of the enterprise, not just monitor its management.”

The growing complexity of markets and strategy, the authors say, is one of the biggest challenges for board members. It also means that they cannot afford to sit back and rubber stamp executives’ plans.

Boards often fail to do their job, they point out, for example failing to do their due diligence. They cite the example of Yahoo’s chief executive Scott Thompson. After a few months in the post, it was discovered that he had listed a degree in both accounting and computer science, but had actually earned only the first. It later transpired that there was also a discrepancy in the qualifications listed by the director in charge of Thompson’s recruitment. A few months later, the board recruited Marissa Mayer as chief executive.

The writers know their stuff: Ram Charan is a business adviser; Dennis Carey is vice-chairman of Korn/Ferry International, the executive search firm; and Michael Useem is professor of management and director of the centre for leadership and change management at the Wharton school at the University of Pennsylvania.

The pace is brisk and the examples bring to life what could be an arid subject. They mix anecdote with pragmatic checklists of what to look for when recruiting a board member, how to avoid members becoming bombastic and rendering the board dysfunctional, when to take charge, when to stay out of the way, how to define a company’s central idea, how to select a board leader and how to root out dysfunctional directors.

The authors know their audience: people considering board positions or those already in them. They identify the trait that binds their readers together: lack of time. So, at the end of the book they suggest an alternative to reading the full 200-plus pages: an 18-point checklist.
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Nothing to wear

If you were travelling Upper Class with Virgin Atlantic from Heathrow this spring, you might have been checked in by staff wearing Google Glass. The airline says it was trialling Glass as an “innovative pilot scheme”. “Trial” may be an overstatement, as Glass is nowhere near ready to go mainstream: it is probably more accurate to say that this was a stunt to raise brand awareness – something Virgin is very good at.

Glass is probably the most visible and best-known of the current crop of “wearables” – which include smartwatches, biometrics monitoring devices and wearable cameras – which is quite an achievement for Google, given that it is available only to a small coterie of early adopters who have been prepared to shell out $1,500 for the privilege of being beta testers and walking adverts for Google.

Glass has had a lot of coverage since it was launched last year – not all of it gushing. The most common epithet for the self-conscious tech pioneers who wear them in the wild is “Glasshole”.

While Glass has attracted attention, wearables are not taking off. They remain to be seen. There are two reasons for this: nobody seems to need them. As Carolina Milanesi, chief of research at Kantar Worldpanel, the research company, politely puts it: “The value proposition of wearables is unclear to consumers.”

So, wearables are really devices manufacturers hope we will purchase because they need us to buy more gadgets as smartphones cease to be cool and increasingly become unexciting commodity devices. “Wearables started as a need for the vendors – not the consumers,” Milanesi says. “As with tablets, they’re pushing them to see if they can make up for lost revenue.”

Mike Bell, vice-president and general manager of new devices for Intel, echoes Milanesi when he says that “part of what we’re trying to do is help create the market”. Intel of course has a very big interest in wearables if their developers can be persuaded to use Intel’s chips. To that end, Intel is busy hooking up with unlikely bedfellows in the fashion industry. Which brings me to the second reason: most look dire, others ridiculous.

Makers of wearable gadgets have yet to design devices we really want, writes Kate Bevan

A good look? Sergey Brin and designer Diane von Furstenberg launch Google Glass at her show

App-grade: tools for maintenance, organisation and fun

CCleaner, Android (free)
This old faithful PC tool has just arrived on Android, where it is just as useful: it will clear your cache, call logs and browsing history and uninstall apps, freeing up a surprising amount of space – I managed to get rid of 116MB from the Chrome browser on my Nexus 10 tablet. The catch is that is still in beta, so you need to join the beta programme – you will find the link for that on the developer Piriform’s Android CCleaner page – before you can install it. More functionality will be coming soon.

Fantastical, iOS (£6.99)
A vast improvement on the native iOS calendar, this app has a thoughtful design that makes the most of the iPad’s screen and leverages how you use the device: things such as a thumb swipe bring up reminders and search. It looks a bit overwhelming at first, but once you get the hang of it, the three elements of the calendar – the day ticker, which you can expand for a more detailed view, the scrolling list and the monthly calendar – are incredibly useful. And there is an iPhone version, too.

2048, all platforms
This fiendish game looks very simple: you slide tiles around on a four-by-four grid and combine them to double their value, and you win when you create a tile with the face value of 2048. In fact it is ridiculously hard, and infuriatingly compelling. 2048 was created by Gabriele Cirulli and takes the best bits of the equally popular Threes! to create a game that’s both more simple and more elegant. There are myriad versions in all the app stores, including a Doctor Who version.
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“Most are really ugly,” says Bell. Milanesi concurs, adding: “Design is absolutely crucial.”

It is striking that the only wearables that have found a foothold are unobtrusive fitness wristbands such as the Fitbit, Nike’s Fuelband and the Sony Smartband. A report from consultancy Endeavour Partners this year said that one in 10 US consumers over 18 now owns a fitness-tracking device.

Such devices are at least useful and low-key. By contrast, smartwatches are chunky, prone to run out of juice and tethered to your smartphone. Samsung, which dominates the smartphone market, stumbled badly with its first attempt at a smartwatch, the Galaxy Gear, eventually given away for free with the Samsung Galaxy Note 3. Even this could not entice people to wear them – eBay has seen a surge in the devices being offered for sale.

For a device to win hearts – and wallets – it must find a place in people’s lives and cultures. At present, wearables are jarring rather than something people want to embrace. You do not have to look hard to find stories of bars banning Glass and concerns about privacy. Milanesi puts her finger on that unease when she says that “you are subjecting others to something you’ve chosen” with Glass and similar devices.

And in many cases, wearables are just plain flaky. At the end of March, owners of Pebble smartwatches found themselves wearing just a rather expensive watch when an update temporarily robbed the devices of all functionality except telling the time. The following weekend, the musician Will.I.am – who moonlights as Intel’s “director of creative innovation” – demonstrated to a live audience that a smartwatch can make you look daft when his attempt to call Cheryl Cole during the final of the BBC’s The Voice talent show using his own self-branded smartwatch did not go as planned.

Wearables need to be useful, beautiful, reliable and not make their user look like an idiot. But for now, they mostly seem to be about manufacturers trying to wring more money out of bored consumers who have yet to be convinced that a wearable is a must-have.

Calling time: Will.I.am uses his smartwatch on The Voice television show.

For any device to win hearts – and wallets – it must find a place in people’s lives.

For more information, visit msb.georgetown.edu/custom

We have helped senior executives from over 20 countries in their growth plans for emerging markets. It’s your turn now.

The best way to understand fast-growing markets is by being in one. That’s why ISB’s Centre for Executive Education attracts global executives interested in learning about these dynamic markets. Contact us today to know how we can customise a learning solution for your organisation.

For more details write to: cee@isb.edu
Indian School of Business, Hyderabad | Mohali
I remember thinking: “This is way out of my league.” It was the first day of my advanced management programme and we were divided into small teams to prepare a presentation. My group, which had to launch a business initiative, included the senior vice-president of a large pharmaceutical company, the president of an electric supply company, the president of a Russian investment management company, and the managing partner of a Swiss global wealth management firm. I was not sure that I could fit in with such a high-powered group.

It was October 2010 and I was beginning a five-week programme at the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania that brought me together with 45 executives from 25 countries. Only six of us were women.

I thought my fears were a result of 18 years at one company. I worked at a New Jersey finance company, and did it all – human resources, sales, operations, client services and portfolio management, eventually becoming the only female executive. While my male peers and I embraced each other’s differences, I had no female role models, because our parent company had no women executives. Mentoring was important in my career and I enjoyed helping other women. Female employees, managers and emerging leaders would say: “You’re my role model.”

It was my mentor, the company president Rich Palmieri, who suggested I attend an executive education programme rather than pursue an MBA. I wanted to learn how leaders outside my industry overcame professional or personal obstacles.

At Wharton, I soon found that these high achievers had the same fears about measuring up. Our bond was instant; we were cut from the same cloth. The lessons we learned during a rowing activity helped us work as a team and also fostered our unity as a group. We learned that none of us needed to have all the answers – our strength was in our diversity. My strength is bringing people together and getting them to believe in a vision.

After Wharton, people said I was different, more confident. This extended to my personal life: I took on community leadership roles, starting an organisation for residents in the New Jersey town outside Philadelphia where I live with my husband and two daughters.

I needed to continue to learn and grow and in December 2013, SDI, a third-party supply chain integrator in Bristol, Pennsylvania, hired me to oversee inside operations. Coming to a new industry, I focus on leveraging the skills of the people around me. Wharton was transformative and gave me confidence in the collective ability of people to overcome challenges.

Women at my old company continue to reach out to me and it is exciting that we have maintained a special relationship but sad that they aspire to leadership roles but do not have mentors to guide them.

I often wondered what keeps women from progressing up the ladder. I believe it is not a lack of talent but more a lack of mentors who can give them confidence and teach them to navigate and move up. Women bring so much to the table – but they have been taught from a young age that those qualities are weaknesses. In reality, they are strengths. Male leaders tend to go strictly with fact and not take into account perceptions and emotions. Women have stronger interpersonal skills and are more in tune with information around facts and use it to persuade and take risks. Mentors can also assure women that they need not repress assertiveness if it makes others uncomfortable.

I was blessed with mentors in the small group of women in my class. They taught me how to reinvest in myself and in my family. Too often, people think you have to choose between being an executive or being a wife and mother – that you cannot be all three. That is not true. You can be an executive without sacrificing a personal and family life – that is where mentoring becomes so critical.

The women I met at Wharton taught me that. As told to Anne Wainscott-Sargent
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